

RESOLUTION 16-118

WHEREAS, the City has requested statements of qualifications from firms to update the police, fire, library and recreation impact fee studies for a ten year planning horizon; and

WHEREAS, two firms responded to the RFQ; and

WHEREAS, a Staff committee reviewed the statements of qualifications at a properly advertised public meeting on August 15, 2016, found both firms to be qualified and responsive to the bid request, and recommended by a vote of 3-2 that PRMG be ranked No.1, and Tindale Oliver be ranked No. 2, for purposes of entering negotiations for a satisfactory contract for this work.

BE IT RESOLVED that appropriate officers of the City are authorized and directed to attempt to negotiate a Professional Services Agreement for the update of police, fire, library and impact fee studies with one of the Firms in the following order of ranking:

First - PRMG,

Second- Tindale Oliver

and to return the negotiated Agreement to the City Council for approval.


THIS RESOLUTION shall be effective immediately upon passage.

PASSED in special session this 25th day of August, 2016.

CITY OF PANAMA CITY BEACH

By: 
MIKE THOMAS, Mayor

ATTEST:


DIANE FOWLER, City Clerk



CITY OF PANAMA CITY BEACH AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

1. DEPARTMENT MAKING REQUEST/NAME:

Police, Fire, Recreation and Library/Kelly Jenkins

2. MEETING DATE:

8/25/2016

3. REQUESTED MOTION/ACTION:

Approve staff to negotiate contract for impact fees studies for the police, fire, recreation and library departments with firms in order of ranking.

4. AGENDA

PRESENTATION
PUBLIC HEARING
CONSENT
REGULAR

5. IS THIS ITEM BUDGETED (IF APPLICABLE)? YES NO
BUDGET AMENDMENT OR N/A

N/A

DETAILED BUDGET AMENDMENT ATTACHED YES NO

N/A

6. BACKGROUND: (WHY IS THE ACTION NECESSARY, WHAT GOAL WILL BE ACHIEVED)

The City advertised to request statements of qualifications to update the police, fire, library and recreation impact fee studies for planning purposes for the next 10 years. Two firms responded and the staff committee found them to be both qualified and responsive. The committee ranked PRMG 1st and Tindale Oliver 2nd. Staff would like to begin negotiations with the first ranked firm to be able to bring back an agreement to Council for approval.